

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/080,490 Ray W. Hathaway SMI0029.US 8480 02/22/2002 08/21/2003 Todd T. Taylor **EXAMINER** TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. BAXTER, JESSICA R 142 S. Main St. P.O. Box 560 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Avilla, IN 46710 3731 DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/080,490	HATHAWAY, RAY W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica R Baxter	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 !	<u>May 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is F <b>INAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 10/080,490

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 10, the limitation, "each said viewing window including at least one convex circumferential segment as viewed from inside said corresponding viewing window," is unclear, since it is not understood where the convex segment is located. It is understood in the art that one looks through a viewing window and not from inside the window.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said corresponding viewing window" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said corresponding viewing window" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,490 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,690,634 to Muller et al.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6 and 7, Muller discloses an orthopaedic reamer comprising a shaft (Column 2 lines 18-20) and a head (FIG. 1) coupled with said shaft, said head including a distal face with a plurality of hemispherically shaped cutting teeth (cutting edges 10) and at least one viewing window extending through said head (openings 5), each said viewing window including at least one convex circumferential segment as viewed from inside said corresponding viewing window (FIGS. 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 2, Muller discloses a plurality of viewing windows (openings 5).

Regarding claim 3, Muller discloses that each said viewing window has a predefined cross-sectional configuration, at least two of said cross-sectional configurations being different (FIG. 5 openings 5).

Regarding claim 4, Muller discloses that at least one viewing window has a cross-sectional configuration with a compound curvature (openings 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,102,915 to Bresler et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/080,490

Art Unit: 3731

Bresler discloses a shaft (13) coupled to a hemispherical head (2) that has a plurality of cutting teeth (4) and a viewing window (21,22). The cutting teeth have a generally partial hemispherical shape that allow the user to check contact between the head (2) and bone to ensure a good fit. The windows (21, 22) are configured dependent upon the location of the teeth. Bresler describes the method of use in column 5, which includes placing the device against bone, rotating the cutting head (2) and stopping rotation. Bresler discloses the claimed invention except for the shape of the viwing window including at least one convex circumferential segment as viewed from inside said corresponding viewing window. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change the shape of the window to include a convex circumferential segment since such a modification would not have changed the function of the device.

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

Application/Control Number: 10/080,490

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 703-305-4069. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and

703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Jessica R Baxter Examiner

Art Unit 3731

August 19, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**